5100 Diag. Cht. No. 8502-2 88552

)
~	4
N)

			ì		
Form 504 Ed. June, 1928 DEPARTMENT OF CO		RCE			
U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC S R.S.Patton, Direct	tor				ETIC SURVEY CHIVES
	'	(V) A	111	16	1931
State:		Acc. No			
DESCRIPTIVE I	REP	ORT			1 :
############# Sheet No.	81	5100			÷
LOCALITY S. of Kenai Peninsu S.W.Alaska					
Seal Rocks to Nuka	Isla	2 d .		٠	1
Bay Approaches	****				Vil.
19 30	~ • • • • • • •				: :
CHIEF OF PA	RTY				
F.R.M.Siems					

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. 81 REGISTER NO. 5100 General locality KENAI PENINSULA Seal Rocks to Nuka Bay Approaches Locality SOUTH COAST CHAIR ROUNCE TO NUMBER 1D. Scale 1/80,000 Date of survey MAY - AUG 19/20 Vessel U. S. S. DISCOVERER Chief of Party F. B. T. Siems Surveyed by F. B. T.S., L. D. G. Protracted by J. L. askowski Soundings penciled by G. A. N. e son Soundings in fathoms Plane of reference MILW Subdivision of wire dragged areas by Inked by C Instructions dated MARCH 21 Remarks:

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

to

Accompany Hydrographic Sheet #81

AUTHORITY:

This survey was made under the Director's instructions dated March 21, 1930.

LOCALITY:

This sheet covers the offshore area south of Kenai Peninsula from Seal Rocks on the east to Nuka Island in the west, and extends approximately 15 miles offshore.

It joins with Registers Nos. 4856 and 4836 on the east and north east respectively. The inshore junction is with Field Sheets. —Nos. 42-a and 42-b; the offshore junction with Field Sheet No. 161.

METHOD OF SURVEY:

For control, three point visual fixes were used throughout. Natural objects, previously located by triangulation and topography, being used. Several supplementary objects were located by the hydrographic party. Very little, if any, jump in the lines was experienced in shifting objects.

Boundings were obtained with the fathometer, being recorded at regular intervals of one minute. Numerous vertical casts were obtained at well-balanced intervals over the entire area of the sheet. These checked the fathometer soundings very well after the proper corrections had been applied; less than a fathom in most instances.

The development in the northeast corner of the sheet was done by the M.V. Westdahl, using vertical casts exclusively. These checked the ship's fathometer soundings within a fathom in most cases.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION:

The area covered by this sheet is uniform in both depth and

and character of bottom. Nothing of an unusual character was found. Vertical casts are inclosed with a dotted circle and marked by the V.C.

antol

Respectfully submitted

F.B.T. Siems, H.& G.Engr., Commanding.

NOTE: There is a chain of several high mountain peaks extending from Δ HIGH to Peak C (1927) including peaks A and B. Two of these peaks have been definitely located by the hydrographic party as shown on the smooth sheet. Other peaks in the vicinity of Δ HIGH have been located on Boat Sheet 43. (field no., 1930, DISCOVERER)

APPROVAL OF CHIEF OF PARTY

Sheet No. 81 and accompanying records have been inspected and approved by me. No additional work is considered necessary within the limits of the work already accomplished.

F.B.T.Siems Chief of Party

TABLE OF STATISTICS
HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET #61

DA	ATE	VESSEL	DAY LETTER	POS	SOUND V. C.	Ings Fath.	STAT;MI. SDG. LINES.
MAY	19	SHIP	A	24	1	92	39.1
**	20	tt .	В	94	3	325	83.0
tt	22	Ħ	O	θO	6	375	78.0
tt	23	Ħ	D	54	2	187	42.5
11	28	Ħ	E	137	14	483	107.0
11	29	. n	F	63	2	203	43.1
JUNI	£ 5	Ħ	G	154	6	582	132.0
'n	2.	n	H	145	11	619	126.0
17	, 8	11	J	193	13	887	191.0
11	9	tt	K	174	12	827	177.0
11	25	Ħ	L	11	1	40	8.0
JULY	14	Ħ	M	27	3	103	18.0
11	21	Ħ	N	65	3	265	46.0
n	22	tt	P	15	0	61	11.3
11	23	Ħ	Q	103	4	442	85.0
AUG	.14	Ħ	R	16	0	68	13.0
AUG	.18	WESTDAHL	a	150	150		55.2
. #	19	tt .	b	72	72		24.7
TOTA	ALS		18	1587	303	5559	1279.9

Section of Field Records Report on Sheet H-5100 Seal Rocks to muka Day approaches, alaska Instructions dated man. 21, 1930 (Riscoverer)

chief of Party - F. B. T. Siems Surveyed by - F.B.T.S., L.D. Graham Rate surreyed- may-aug., 1930 Portacled by- g. Jaskowski Snewdings plotted by - G. A. Tulson Verified & Inhal by - H.W. Munay, W. W. Bamford.

- 1. The records, plan & character of development fulfill the requirements of the Hydrographic manual.
- 2. The plan & extent of development satisfy the specific instructions.

 3. The crossing lines are adequate.

 4. The usual depth curres can be satisfactorily.

- 5. The field plotting was good except that only 40 90 of the turns were plotted. The remainder were plotted by the resifier.

6. The majority of the arending on this sheet whe in he and fasitions 14 to 1931 indusing were recipied by the uniter. The remainder were recipied & inheal by

W. H. Bamford including the oftaining of photostates and transferring of oreslaps with the exception of H-4836, H-5005

and a small fastion of H-5007.

There are 8 junctions wire affaired sheets

all transfers were make by the recipies of this sheet (H-5100), Junctions in general are satisfactory with the exception of

some number changes in the 100 fathous curre of H- 4836.

8. Mangers - a shoal with a least depth.

If 31 fathoms has been developed in lat. 59° 28' and long. 149° 41.

9. Pespertfully submitted: July 9, 1931 Harold W. Murray

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No. 5100

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	1.5, 8.7.
Mumber of positions checked	351
Number of positions revised	. 35
Mumber of soundings recorded	5862
Number of soundings revised	. 201
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	~

Date: June 9, 1931
Cartographer: Hawle Winning, W. W. Bamfard

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

AND REFER TO NO.

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

WASHINGTON

SECTION OF FIELD RECORDS

Report on Hydrographic Sheet No. 5100

Seal Rocks to Nuka Bay Approaches - Offshore

Kenai Peninsula, Alaska.

Surveyed in 1930

Instructions dated March 23, 1930 (DISCOVERER)

Fathometer & Machine Soundings - Three Point Control

Chief of Party - F. B. T. Siems

Surveyed by F. B. T. S., and L. D. Graham

Protracted by J. T. Laskowski

Soundings plotted by G. A. Nelson

Verified and inked by H. W. Murray and W. H. Bamford.

1. Records:

The records conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual with the exception that in the work of the WESTDAHL there is a lack of conformity with the sample page given on page 116 of the Hydrographic Manual. This has been fully discussed in my review for H-5093.

- 2. Specific Instructions: The work conforms to the specific Instructions.
- 3. Sounding line crossings: Wherever fathometer soundings crossed fathometer soundings, almost perfect crossings were obtained, but where the WESTDAHL'S work (vertical casts) on the 50 fathom bank to the south of Seal Rocks crossed the fathometer work of the DISCOVERER the fathometer soundings on several lines average 1 fathom less than the vertical casts. This might be due to the use of a mean index correction for various depths or might be due to the fathometer recording the small irregularities of the bottom. As the depths are not critical, no further study was made of the differences.

4. Depth Curves: The usual depth curves could be completely delineated.

5. Junctions with surveys:

- (a) Contemporary surveys: A satisfactory junction was effected with all the adjoining surveys made within the last few years. Generally the soundings are in agreement within one fathom, which is acceptable for this class of work. No consistent differences were noted. At the junction with H-4836, however, there are differences noted as great as five fathoms. In these cases the work on H-4836 are usually deeper. The original records for the old survey were exemined and it was found that at that time no index corrections were applied to fathometer soundings. A study of the comparative soundings taken on that survey shows that a one to two fathom minus correction could have been applied to the fathometer soundings. While this does not account for all the differences noted, it would bring the old work in closer harmony with the new work. No corrections have, however, been applied to the old sheet or the bottom is not of such a character that a difference of one or two fathoms would displace the depth curves materially.
- (b) Old surveys: The old surveys that border or overlap the present survey need not be considered in the compilation of the charts for the reason mentioned in the review of the various sheets of the present project that border on these old surveys (see in particular reviews H-5085, H-5087, and H-5091).

Other charted soundings that fall within the limits of the new work are not important and should be disregarded in charting. They come from miscellaneous sources and have not been considered in this review.

- 6. Field drafting: The field plotting was done in conformity with the Hydrographic Manual.
- 7. Additional work: No additional work is recommended for this area.
- 8. Review by A. L. Shalowitz, July, 1931.

Approved:

A.M. Sobieralski Chief, Section of Field Records.

Chief, Division of Charts

Chief. Section of Field Works

Chief, Div. of Hyd'y and Top'y

May 15, 1931

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts:

Tide Reducers are approved in

5 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 5100

Locality Seal Rocks to Nuka Bay, S. W. Alaska

Chief of Party: F. B. T. Siems in 1930

Plane of reference is mean lower low water, reading

7.0 ft. on tide staff at Chance Laggon

21.3 ft. below B. M. 1

2.6 ft. on tide staff at Seward

14.9 ft. below B.M. la

5.0 ft. on tide staff at Port Dick

19.2 ft below B. M. 1

Condition of records satisfactory except as checked below:

- 1. Locality and sublocality of survey omitted.
- 2. Month and day of month omitted.
- 3. Time meridian not given at beginning of day's work.
- 4. Time (whether A.M. or P.M.) not given at beginning of day's work.
- 5. Soundings (whether in feet or fathoms) not clearly shown in record.
- 6. Leadline correction entered in wrong column.
- 7. Field reductions entered in "Office" column.
- 8. Location of tide gauge not given at beginning of day's work.
- 9. Leadline corrections not clearly stated.
- 10. Kind of sounding tube used not stated.
- 11. Sounding tube No. entered in column of "Soundings" instead of "Remarks":
- 12. Legibility of record could be improved.
- 13. Remarks.

Chief, Division of Tides and Currents.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

AND REFER TO NO.

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

WASHINGTON -

SECTION OF FIELD RECORDS

Report on Hydrographic Sheet No. 5100

Seal Rocks to Nuka Bay Approaches - Offshore

Kenai Peninsula, Alakka.

Surveyed in 1930

Instructions dated March 23, 1930 (DISCOVERER)

Fathometer & Mashine Soundings - Three Point Control

Chief of Party - F. B. T. Siems

Surveyed by F. B. T. S., and L. D. Graham

Protracted by J. T. Laskowski

Soundings plotted by G. A. Nelson

Verified and inked by H. W. Murray and W. H. Bamford.

1. Records:

The records conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual with the exception that in the work of the WESTDAHL there is a lack of conformity with the sample page given on page 116 of the Hydrographic Manual. This has been fully discussed in my review for H-5093.

- 2. Specific Instructions: The work conforms to the specific Instructions.
- 3. Sounding line crossings: Wherever fathometer soundings crossed fathometer soundings, almost perfect crossings were obtained, but where the WESTDAHL'S work (vertical casts) on the 50 fathom bank to the south of Seal Rocks crossed the fathometer work of the DISCOVERER the fathometer soundings on several lines average 1 fathom less than the vertical casts. This might be due to the use of a mean index correction for various depths or might be due to the fathometer recording the small irregularities of the bottom. As the depths are not critical, no further study was made of the differences.

4. Depth Curves: The usual depth curves could be completely delineated.

5. Junctions with surveys:

- (a) Contemporary surveys: A satisfactory junction was effected with all the adjoining surveys made within the last few years. Generally the soundings are in agreement within one fathom, which is acceptable for this class of work. No consistent differences were noted. At the junction with H-4836, however, there are differences noted as great as five fathoms. In these cases the work on H-4836 are usually deeper. The original records for the old survey were examined and it was found that at that time no index corrections were applied to fathometer soundings. A study of the comparative soundings taken on that survey shows that a one to two fathom minus correction could have been applied to the fathometer soundings. While this does not account for all the differences noted, it would bring the old work in closer harmony with the new work. No corrections have. however, been applied to the old sheet or the bottom is not of such a character that a difference of one or two fathoms would displace the depth curves materially.
- (b) Old surveys: The old surveys that border or overlap the present survey need not be considered in the compilation of the charts for the reason mentioned in the review of the various sneets of the present project that border on these old surveys (see in particular reviews H-5085, H-5087, and H-5091).

Other charted soundings that fall within the limits of the new work are not important and should be disregarded in charting. They come from miscellaneous sources and have not been considered in this review.

- 6. <u>Field drafting:</u> The field plotting was done in conformity with the Hydrographic Manual.
- 7. Additional work: No additional work is recommended for this area.
- 8. Review by A. L. Shalowitz, July, 1931.

Approved:

Chief, Section of Field Records.

Chief, Section of Field Work.